

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20201

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/042,196	01/11/2002	Rieko Chujo	217902US2S CONT	4870
×	590 01/15/2003			
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			EXAMINER	
	1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		BUDD, MARK OSBORNE	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2834	

DATE MAILED: 01/15/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(a)	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	10/042 196	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Group Art Unit	
	M. 1	Applicant(s) Chuju Group Art Unit 3000 3834	
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appear		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ddress-
Period for Reply	i i		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE	MONTH(S) FROM THE MA	AILING DATE
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by defa Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the madjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	a reply within the statutory r ult, expire SIX (6) MONTHS tatute, cause the application	minimum of thirty (30) days will be cons from the mailing date of this communion to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C.	sidered timely. ication. § 133).
Status			
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
☐ This action is FINAL.			
 Since this application is in condition for allowance excep accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 19 	pt for formal matters, p 35 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 2	rosecution as to the merits is a	closed in
Disposition of Claims Claim(s)			
Of the above claim(s)		is/are withdrawn from co	onsideration.
□ Clạim(s)	<u> </u>	is/are allowed.	
□ Claim(s)		is/are rejected.	
☐ Claim(s)		is/are objected to.	
√ Claim(s) 1 - *7		are subject to restriction	or election
Application Papers		requirement	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	× 11		
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objection	ected to by the Examin	er	
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	. "		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)			
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)—(d).	
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the:			
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been		2.	
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been			
☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer			
in this national stage application from the Internation *Certified copies not received:			
Attachment(s)			·
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper N	J∪(e)	Interview Summary, PTO-413	
			nation DTO 4
□ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892		Notice of Informal Patent Applic	auoji, P10-1
□ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-9.	48 . F	⊺ Other	

Office Action Summary

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 11/00)

Part of Paper No. ____5

Application/Control Number: 10/042,196 Page 2

Art Unit: 2834

This application contains the following inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

Group I, claim(s) 1-4, drawn to a method of manufacturing a SAW device; classified in class 29 subclass 25.35.

Group II, claim(s) 5-7, drawn to a surface acoustic device classified in class 310 subclass 313 R.

The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: There is no general concept to be found. For example, the bonding in the finished SAW (claim 5) need not be "melted" as required by the specific manufacturing steps of Group I (claim 1).

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Application/Control Number: 10/042,196

Art Unit: 2834

Page 3

Budd/ek

01/10/03

MARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 212